



Specific Programme Criminal Justice

European Commission

Directorate-General Justice – Directorate B: Criminal Justice



INSTITUTE OF CONFLICT RESOLUTION
SOFIA - BULGARIA

3E-RJ- MODEL Record and Assessment Tool on RJ: ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

3E –RJ-MODEL

**The 3E Model for a Restorative Justice Strategy in Europe
Greece, United Kingdom, Bulgaria, Finland, Hungary, Poland, Spain
(including research also in Turkey, the Netherlands, Denmark, Germany)
JUST/2010/JPEN/AG/1534**

November 2011

Country concerned: _____

User' name: _____

(Please **tick** or put an **X** to the answer of your preference. You can also **add some free comments** on the space or in an annex added at the and by pointing out the specific Question)

I. Technical, organizational or/and operational obstacles (Quest. 1-53)

Concerning the reference country and according to your professional experience:

The further diffusion and implementation of Restorative Justice could be affected by:

VERY MUCH

RATHER YES

NOT ABLE TO ASSESS

RATHER NO

NOT AT ALL

1. ...the lack of relevant legislation?

2. ...the lack of detailed regulation and relevant directions concerning the actual process?

3. ... unfinished CJ system reforms?

4.the absence of local experience?

5. ... the limited local experience?

Question

VERY MUCH

RATHER YES

**NOT ABLE TO
ASSESS**

RATHER NO

NOT AT ALL

6. ...the lack of specialized institutions?

7. ... insufficiency referral system?

*(please make an explanatory comment at the Annex
in end of the Questionnaire)*

**8. ...the lack of coordination between CJ and social
services/agencies?**

**9. ... lack of training to the personnel of the
services involved?**

**10. ... insufficiency of human resources in the
services involved ?**

**11. ... insufficiency of financial resources in the
services involved?**

**12. poor evaluation and follow-up
strategies?**

*(please make an explanatory comment at the Annex
in end of the Questionnaire)*

13.Other(namely): _

14 ...Other(namely): _

15. ...Other(namely): _

II. Ideological obstacles

Concerning the reference country and according to your professional experience:

The further diffusion and implementation of Restorative Justice could be affected by:

VERY MUCH

RATHER YES

NOT ABLE TO ASSESS

RATHER NO

NOT AT ALL

16. ... the lack of public awareness?

17. ...the lack of the social acceptance and confidence on the RJ as an effective approach to crime?

18. ... the public demand for more severe sentencing?

19. ... scepticism on behalf of police?

20. ... scepticism on behalf of prosecutor?

<i>Question</i>	VERY MUCH	RATHER YES	<i>NOT ABLE TO ASSESS</i>	RATHER NO	NOT AT ALL
21. ... scepticism on behalf of judges?					
22. ... unwillingness of the victims?					
23. ... doubts on behalf of the offenders concerning their procedural rights?					
24. ... hesitance of the lawyers and bar associations?					
25.... different prioritising concerning sentences and other responses to crime?					
26. ... low level of civil activism (lack of adequate number of NGOs, and other relevant initiatives)?					
27. ... unwillingness of cooperation between public services (social and CJ) and private ones (e.g. NGOs)?					
28. ... <i>OTHER</i> :					
29 ... <i>OTHER</i> :					

III. Prospects and Dynamics of RJ

Concerning the reference country and according to your professional experience:

How important is for the further and more effective implementation of Restorative Justice to:

VERY

RATHER

RATHER

NOT IMPORTANT

IMPORTANT

IMPORTANT

INDIFFERENT

UNIMPORTANT

AT ALL

30. ... be based on a common European perception?

32. ... be based on detailed legal schemes provided by legislation?

33. ... be flexible in implementation, even if there are relevant legal provision?

34. ... assure that the offender will not to be coerced on RJ procedures?

Question

**VERY
IMPORTANT**

**RATHER
IMPORTANT**

INDIFFERENT

**RATHER
UNIMPORTANT**

**NOT IMPORTANT
AT ALL**

35. ... be based on the principle of empowerment of the victim?

36. ... be based on the principle of confidentiality?

37. ... be based to high professional standards, ethics and accreditation?

38. emphasize to the early stage of CJ procedure?

39. ... fully respect the rights of the offender and the presumption of innocence?

40. ... allow to the victim the initiative of starting the RJ process?

41. ... allow to the offender the initiative of starting the RJ process?

42. ...be based on a very well organized and effective referral system?

Question

**VERY
IMPORTANT**

**RATHER
IMPORTANT**

INDIFFERENT

**RATHER
UNIMPORTANT**

**NOT IMPORTANT
AT ALL**

43. ... presuppose a very good preparation of the actual (main) process?

44. ... expand more the RJ process towards the social services?

45. ... emphasise to the creation of mediation centres and other RJ agencies/services all over the country?

46. be supported by a central advisory committee for the better coordination and the promotion of RJ?

47. ... support a central database for gathering all data on relevant programs and initiatives, relevant literature, empirical research and statistics?

Question

**VERY
IMPORTANT**

**RATHER
IMPORTANT**

INDIFFERENT

**RATHER
UNIMPORTANT**

**NOT IMPORTANT
AT ALL**

48. ... enforce the professional standards of mediation and other RJ forms and the accreditation in a central record?

49. ... promote national RJ programmes with the wide cooperation of CJ and social services, academics and NGOs?

50. ... promote local RJ programmes with the cooperation of local community?

51. OTHER:

52. OTHER:

53. OTHER:

ANNEX 1

FREE COMMENT TO THE QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1

COMMENT:

QUESTION 2

COMMENT:

QUESTION 3

COMMENT:

QUESTION 4

COMMENT: